

Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agree		48.0%	49
Disagree		52.0%	53
	Why?		83
answered question			102
skipped question			9

Page 1, Q1. Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

1	I believe some pedestrian facilities are needed but would prefer the least amount of impact to my property (with no additional ROW; no parking on either side of Collister). Pedestrian facilities between Catalpa and Hill Road will be more difficult due to the numerous homes that are situated close to the roadway (right-of-way) and the adverse impacts it will have to the existing properties if additional ROW is needed. There are numerous homes on the upper part of Collister (north of Catalpa) that have limited yard space, mature trees, existing working wells (for irrigation), existing utilities located at the edge of the property adjacent to the roadway, and sprinkler lines that run adjacent to the roadway ROW. These features are all reasons why I purchased property on Collister and are extremely important and valuable to me and the integrity of the property.	Aug 24, 2011 9:45 AM
2	I am a resident on Collister (4603) and I have been running my neighborhood for 5 years. I have been the safest on the unimproved roads.	Aug 22, 2011 11:28 AM
3	Not that many pedestrians on collister	Aug 11, 2011 2:59 PM
4	No sidewalks	Aug 11, 2011 9:31 AM
5	I feel that your spending money to fix a problem that doesn't exist. Spending lots of money now and then in a few years redoing it spending more money like Curtis Rd. project a few years ago.	Aug 11, 2011 9:20 AM
6	Residents do not want them and feel our needs are adequately met now.	Aug 11, 2011 8:48 AM
7	Nobody has died, nobody has been hit, children get to school safely as is. The only fatalities around these here parts are on Hill Road, even where there is a	Aug 10, 2011 9:30 PM

Page 1, Q1. Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

sidewalk and bike lane.

- | | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| 8 | There has never been an accident or a report that I know of, of a pedestrian being hurt or killed by a car on Collister Dr. to me that means there is no problem. Why fix what isn't broken? | Aug 10, 2011 8:31 PM |
| 9 | We already have parking on both sides of the street that is used constantly. People utilize that space to ride bikes and walk. About 25 years ago we had a problem with water accumulation in the streets but that has also been fixed so we do not need to "reinvent the wheel" with that and spend a lot more money that the city does not have. During the meeting some of the city people stated that Collister does not need parking on the street. By looking at the documentation that is presented, it appears that the city is trying to convince the people that the cost of parking is so high that we do not need it. On the contrary, we do need parking!!! There are a very few people who have circular drive ways that allow for additional parking in their lot, the rest of us, who have families and a life, need parking. I am very fearful that the city will take this into their hands and do what they have already prepared, just to meet their plans when it may not be in the best interest of the people in the Collister area. By implementing most of these plans, it will be eliminating most of the beautiful trees that our city is known for and that welcome people to the Collister neighborhood. The overall cost of this plan is so large, and at this time of difficulties when you are actually taking money from the school, medical assistance and developmental disciplines and putting it into a street that has gotten by for so many years is ludicrous. To consider everything that will need to be dug up, replaced, and removed that this project entails is simply not responsible. | Aug 10, 2011 7:33 PM |
| 10 | I believe pedestrian facilities are best achieved between Catalpa and State street due to the homes sitting further back (or away) from the roadway. I do not believe adequate pedestrian facilities can be achieved between Catalpa and Hill Road due to the numerous homes that are situated closer to the roadway and the adverse impacts it would have to the existing properties. There are numerous homes on the upper part of Collister that have limited yard space, mature trees, existing working wells (for irrigation), existing utilities located at the edge of the property adjacent to the roadway, and sprinkler lines that run adjacent to the roadway ROW. These features are all reasons why I purchased property on Collister and are extremely important and valuable to me and the integrity of the property. | Aug 10, 2011 10:42 AM |
| 11 | Many people bike, walk and wait for bus on Collister. I see many children using Collister and it is very busy and unsafe for that much pedestrian use. The ONLY way for us to go to the park is to walk on Collister and there are sections without sidewalks. | Aug 9, 2011 10:32 PM |
| 12 | Its a freeway out here need sidewalks | Aug 9, 2011 5:19 PM |
| 13 | We have a very active neighborhood; people like to ride bikes & take walks; Collister is a busy street and would be much safer for everyone. | Aug 9, 2011 12:18 PM |
| 14 | Road seems to be adequate as is. | Aug 9, 2011 12:05 PM |
| 15 | Not that many | Aug 8, 2011 4:23 PM |
| 16 | It is not easy or safe to walk Collister and as such I do more driving than I want to. | Aug 8, 2011 4:20 PM |

Page 1, Q1. Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

17	I walk my beagle daily, during early evenings, no problems, Collister or Johns Landing.	Aug 8, 2011 4:05 PM
18	As a resident of Collister DR. (4603) fro 23 years we have not had any significant redestrians, not pedestrian/vehicle accidents.	Aug 8, 2011 1:14 PM
19	I do not think it is needed.	Aug 8, 2011 12:53 PM
20	Pedestrian and bicycle traffic is dangerous on these blocks which do not have sidewalks.	Aug 8, 2011 12:48 PM
21	Heavily traveled and needed for safety.	Aug 8, 2011 12:40 PM
22	There is plenty of room for walkers and bikers.	Aug 8, 2011 12:34 PM
23	It is okay the way it is and will harm the nature of the area.	Aug 8, 2011 12:27 PM
24	No alternative without bike lanes, bike lanes would be provided at the expense of property owners and car owners.	Aug 8, 2011 12:24 PM
25	Mess up the neighborhood	Aug 8, 2011 12:21 PM
26	There's more ped walk on Maplewood Dr. than Collister.	Aug 8, 2011 12:11 PM
27	The sidewalks and bike lanes already in place are sufficient.	Aug 8, 2011 11:51 AM
28	No data given on proposal as to pedestrian needs, i.e. how many people use Collister daily, weekly/walking/biking. Would also like to know what percentage of the ACHD budget would go towards project-not just cost of proposal.	Aug 8, 2011 11:46 AM
29	Collister has huge bike lanes on both sides. Very few pedestrians use this area.	Aug 8, 2011 11:42 AM
30	Concerned about losing property footage.	Aug 8, 2011 11:37 AM
31	I live on Collister and am frequently at home. The fact that there is very little pedestrian traffic that walks along this road, certainly not enough to warrant this expense and the impact to property owners.	Aug 8, 2011 11:25 AM
32	Most houses don't have enough frontage as it is.	Aug 8, 2011 11:18 AM
33	We keep trying to walk from Samara to State St. and we have to keep walking at a steep angle on gravel, etc. Also more traffic on Collister now and we have to keep walking around cars and into traffic lanes.	Aug 8, 2011 11:17 AM
34	Lots of walkers, lots of students.	Aug 8, 2011 11:16 AM
35	Safer for pedestrians.	Aug 8, 2011 11:07 AM
36	Safety! children walking to school need to be safe.	Aug 8, 2011 11:06 AM
37	Safety for children, adults, and older Americans. Cars are too dangerous on these roads.	Aug 8, 2011 11:01 AM
38	Safety for pedestrians and bikers.	Aug 8, 2011 11:00 AM

Page 1, Q1. Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

39	Large number of walkers along road, bus stops, bike traffic.	Aug 8, 2011 10:59 AM
40	Luxury	Aug 8, 2011 10:57 AM
41	Pedestrian and bicyclist safety. A lot of people walk or ride to the library at Collister.	Aug 8, 2011 10:56 AM
42	Safer for walking traffic especially school children.	Aug 8, 2011 10:53 AM
43	Alot of walkers	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
44	I believe that having a dedicated sidewalk is safer and more conducive to a healthy neighborhood and allws the residents to more easily access all parts of the neighborhood.	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
45	It'll be good for the public and community.	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
46	Safety, people will feel it's possible to walk and bike Collister.	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
47	We have 7 children. We walk to our local elementary school daily. There have been many times when we have nearly been hit by cars when we are crossing in the crosswalk. Drivers don't seem to see us stepping off the shoulders. Also, with the library down the street and Catalpa Park it would be nice if our older children could walk (safely) to those locations from Hill Rd.	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
48	Safety	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
49	Collister is currently very unsafe for pedistrians. I walk it regularly and fear for my life. We also have a school on Catalpa and young students walk and bike along Collister to ge on Catalpa.	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
50	Safety and uniformity. Right now only pieces of sidewalks exist.	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
51	As a cyclist, I have noticed that road is always cluttered with parked cars which makes it very difficult to ride without dodging in and out of traffic. The current set up is very dangerous.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
52	My family rides bikes on Collister and the cars and bikes sharing the parking lanes forces my kids to swerving into the street.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
53	Elderly residents and children need better access and connectivity to church, school, store, shopping center, etc.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
54	People walking and biking have to go around aprked cars into the roadway.	Aug 8, 2011 10:48 AM
55	At this point, pedestrian, bicycle and vehicle traffic are not well separated. Pedestrian traffic is less than safe.	Aug 8, 2011 10:47 AM
56	Public safety concern effecting the elderly, small children, nighttime pedestrians and bike traffic.	Aug 8, 2011 10:47 AM
57	"Connectivity" Getting children safely to and from schools is #1 important. Safely getting pedestrians to and from the Collister Shopping Center and Library is important as is access accross State St. to Thriftway and on to Greenbelt.	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM

Page 1, Q1. Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

58	Safety	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
59	Improve traffic safety	Aug 8, 2011 10:39 AM
60	Kids walk ot school along Collister, especially at the corner of Collister and Hill.	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
61	Improve traffic safety	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
62	Improve traffic safety	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
63	Cost, no need.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
64	The road and bike lanes are already wide enough to support pedestrian and cycling traffic without compromising on street parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
65	Bicycle and foot traffic is not being served, especially from State St. to Johns Landing. Pedestrians still use the unsafe shoulders because they are like cattle-straight lines.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
66	I feel that the existing road compliments our North End of oour city--by keeping the existing treees and traffic at it's capacity currently. The homes which are there are all but (one) developed so further home development should not be an issue.	Aug 8, 2011 9:59 AM
67	It is blacktoped on both sides of the road now.	Aug 8, 2011 9:59 AM
68	No one walks to school. Place a speed limit and reminder to watch for pedestrians is all that we need.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
69	Sides are wide enough for walking & riding bikes no need to spend money.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
70	We function quite well as we are.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
71	It's no needed. No one wants the same mess on Collister thats on Catalpa	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
72	Not enough pedestrians or motor vehicles. Lived on Collister since 1961, do not know of any pedestrian injuries resulting from motor vehicles and pedestrians.	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
73	We will end up like the Ustick "improvement" The only negative about living on Collister Dr. is the street and RW are already to close. For my 12 years cars have sped up and down Collister.	Aug 8, 2011 9:56 AM
74	In my 20 years living on Collister, there has never been a safety issue. Don't ruin the rural flavor of Collister Dr.	Aug 8, 2011 9:55 AM
75	Lived on Collister for 40 years and have never felt this was needed.	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
76	Cars and pedestrians would be too close to my front door.	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
77	ACHD screwed up my driveway 25 or 30 years ago, not giving them another chance.	Aug 8, 2011 9:53 AM
78	There are no issues requiring this "improvement".	Aug 8, 2011 9:47 AM
79	it is hard to walk with safety because of the traffic	Aug 6, 2011 9:14 PM

Page 1, Q1. Do you agree or disagree that pedestrian facilities are needed on Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road?

80	There is more than enough room for peds and cyclists. Its an expense the county	Aug 6, 2011 1:06 PM
81	We live on Collister Drive, and don't appreciate the concept of losing half of front yard and driveway. We believe that the money that would go into this project could be better spent elsewhere, i.e. , schools, social programs.	Aug 5, 2011 6:01 PM
82	It is a major connecting route to the library, post office, and the greenbelt.	Aug 3, 2011 8:24 PM
83	Collister is extremely unsafe for pedestrians and bicycles. With Children having to be bused to other scholls when they have a neighborhood school a few blocks away is tragic. We have enough vehicles on Collister and State, many are junkers that should have been halled away and people risked their lives going around them. It is more cost effective and we need to rely on petroleum less and encourage a safer lifestyle.	Aug 2, 2011 7:59 PM

Is on-street parking on Collister important?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		37.0%	34
No		63.0%	58

If yes, please list the general area(s) where you think it is needed. 41

answered question	92
skipped question	19

Page 1, Q1. Is on-street parking on Collister important?

1	We currently have on street parking. It is great the way it is.	Aug 22, 2011 11:28 AM
2	If we need to park, where are we to park? People do come to visit and need to park.	Aug 11, 2011 9:20 AM
3	Parking should be left as it now exists.	Aug 11, 2011 8:48 AM
4	We park on Collister just fine as is.	Aug 10, 2011 9:30 PM
5	Parking on Collister is already fine.	Aug 10, 2011 8:31 PM
6	I live between Catalpa and Hill and that is the area that I can speak to. If anyone from the city was to drive this street, they would be able to observe cars parked along the street at any one time during the day. I have family and friends that come to visit and definitely need parking on the East side of the street! In a residential community, where are cars supposed to park when parking is so limited?	Aug 10, 2011 7:33 PM
7	People go to fast now i think we need to have parking to slow people down....	Aug 9, 2011 5:19 PM
8	People have parties well into the night and we need the cars off-street for safety.	Aug 8, 2011 4:20 PM
9	Currently there is plenty of on street parking, i.e., no curbs, gutter or sidewalks.	Aug 8, 2011 1:14 PM
10	In front of homes.	Aug 8, 2011 12:53 PM
11	From State St. To Catalpa, no on street parking, from Catalpa north possibly.	Aug 8, 2011 12:48 PM
12	All the way up from State to Hill	Aug 8, 2011 12:34 PM

Page 1, Q1. Is on-street parking on Collister important?

13	x'd out	Aug 8, 2011 12:11 PM
14	That's what garages and driveways are for.	Aug 8, 2011 12:07 PM
15	Parking is not needed.	Aug 8, 2011 11:01 AM
16	I think for the most part lots on Collister are sufficiently large enough for vehicles to park within the lot space instead of on street parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:59 AM
17	May cause bicycle accidents.	Aug 8, 2011 10:57 AM
18	I have lived in 2 houses on Collister and south of Hill, between Hill & State, it is little used.	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
19	It's important for service vehicles and guests who are visiting homes on Collister Dr. Bike lanes are not as important as the parking even though we do have young children using Collister.	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
20	In the street where properties that have no parking can park.	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
21	Homeowners will park on the street anyway, just as they do today.	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
22	There are many homes along Collister that need the extra parking space, as shown by how many cars are currently parked there.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
23	Many residents do not have sufficiently large driveways. Again, it is an access issue.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
24	To some degree, but not absolutely necessary.	Aug 8, 2011 10:47 AM
25	I do not live on this street or visit anyone on this street, so I have no opinion.	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
26	Not if pedestrian facilities are to be put in. On street parking as is is fine.	Aug 8, 2011 10:05 AM
27	All along Collister, parking for service vehicles, guests, etc. is needed.	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
28	Every part of Collister. Simply driving down the road shows how many people use street parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
29	Seems to be needed between Johns Landing and Hill but JL to State not as necessary and would lessen impact in that area.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
30	Most of the properties are of the older development time. So in front of many homes it is important for families w/driving children to have the ability to park and it also accomadates emergency vehicles.	Aug 8, 2011 9:59 AM
31	Everywhere	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
32	For service people lawn care vehicles etc who don't have off street room in many cases.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
33	There already is plenty of room to park.	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
34	U park on my property just fine!	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
35	We already park on our property. Leave it alone.	Aug 8, 2011 9:55 AM

Page 1, Q1. Is on-street parking on Collister important?

36	Use own driveway or garage	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
37	Use your own driveway or garage.	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
38	in front of your house	Aug 6, 2011 9:14 PM
39	Some of the houses are very close together, and the parking in the driveways is very limited. Being a 3 car household, if we have visitors we need to be able to park on the street. It is important to have on at least one side of the street all the way down the road available for on street parking.	Aug 5, 2011 6:01 PM
40	Only at park areas.	Aug 2, 2011 7:59 PM
41	Some homes have very little driveway space. On 36th the homes like that have cars in the sidewalks forcing pedestrians to walk out into the street to get around the vehicles.	Jul 29, 2011 3:45 PM

Which alternative do you prefer between State Street and Catalpa Drive? - Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Alternative 1 – On-street parking on both sides		7.2%	6
Alternative 2 – No on-street parking		30.1%	25
Alternative 3 - On-street parking on east or west side		12.0%	10
Do not build pedestrian improvements		50.6%	42
		Why?	66
		answered question	83
		skipped question	28

Page 2, Q1. Which alternative do you prefer between State Street and Catalpa Drive? - Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.

1	I actively run or ride my bike daily on Collister and the surrounding neighborhoods. Sidewalks iced ofer or impeded by mailboxes creates more of a hazard than what we currently have.	Aug 22, 2011 11:28 AM
2	We own property at 3395 and 3401 Collister and are strongly opposed to any improvements that would take out any of our newly landscaped yard and bring the traffic closer to our front doors. The street is already crowding our buildings on West side of the street and any parking should be on the East side. In addition, we favor moving the street alignment further to the east in our section as there is significantly more room on that side of the street.	Aug 22, 2011 9:56 AM
3	No sidewalks	Aug 11, 2011 9:31 AM
4	They are not improvements. They are impediments.	Aug 10, 2011 9:31 PM
5	Pedestrians have been fine is these areas for years. Nothing has changed.	Aug 10, 2011 8:33 PM
6	I do not want improvements, but after discussing this with some of my neighbors	Aug 10, 2011 7:34 PM

**Page 2, Q1. Which alternative do you prefer between State Street and Catalpa Drive?
- Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.**

	that may want some of the other options, I definitely want parking on the east side.	
7	We need sidewalks and bike lanes.....	Aug 9, 2011 5:19 PM
8	Safer & make walking & riding bikes much more enjoyable.	Aug 9, 2011 12:19 PM
9	Not that many, I am one of them.	Aug 8, 2011 4:24 PM
10	Large trucks near "Gamma" Enterprises park on street, poking out into road-dangerous turn into shopping center.	Aug 8, 2011 4:20 PM
11	Leave it alone, it works fine. How about saving some money folks.	Aug 8, 2011 4:06 PM
12	Least impact, least cost.	Aug 8, 2011 12:48 PM
13	With center turn lane.	Aug 8, 2011 12:43 PM
14	Cars typically block bike lanes and create blind spots at driveways and side streets. Cost.	Aug 8, 2011 12:40 PM
15	Not necessary at this time.	Aug 8, 2011 12:34 PM
16	It is not needed; However, if one of the alternatives is chosen, I would much prefer Alternative 2.	Aug 8, 2011 12:30 PM
17	Because of no alternative without bike lane.	Aug 8, 2011 12:24 PM
18	x'd out	Aug 8, 2011 12:11 PM
19	Also checked Alternative 2	Aug 8, 2011 12:07 PM
20	The bike lanes are sufficient and we don't need to waste money.	Aug 8, 2011 11:51 AM
21	No data shown for any need to improve existing facilities.	Aug 8, 2011 11:47 AM
22	This area is safe the way it is.	Aug 8, 2011 11:42 AM
23	Please see answer above. In addition, the loss of property improvements of homeowners is not worth the impact.	Aug 8, 2011 11:27 AM
24	Can't ride our bikes around the parked cars safely.	Aug 8, 2011 11:17 AM
25	Pedestrians and cyclists are in danger whenever they have to navigate around and among parked cars. Is it the role of government to supply parking?	Aug 8, 2011 11:07 AM
26	Parking is not safe for bicyclists or peds. This alternative is the best for tax payers I want a bike lane across to State St. and a special light for peds and bkes who need to cross. This project is not the priority for our neighborhood. Please fix Collister and State St. first.	Aug 8, 2011 11:01 AM
27	It does not look like very many people park on the street.	Aug 8, 2011 11:00 AM
28	Less cost, less right of way taken, improved visibility at intersections.	Aug 8, 2011 10:59 AM

**Page 2, Q1. Which alternative do you prefer between State Street and Catalpa Drive?
- Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.**

29	No on street parking because it would cause less pedestrian crashes.	Aug 8, 2011 10:53 AM
30	There is very little need presently. By adding parking it would encroach on existing residents.	Aug 8, 2011 10:53 AM
31	Could be too crowded with parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
32	On street parking is little used, having no on-street parking slows traffic and allows better site lines and safer bike access.	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
33	I'm OK with this as long as ACHD discusses the impact on specific properties with the land owners.	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
34	Time to join the modern age and make Boise safer for bikes and pedestrians.	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
35	If parking is allowed, make it on both sides. If only one side, I am afraid there will be alot of U-turns.	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
36	Need better access for senior citizens at home on east side of street.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
37	Allows for some on street parking, but does not intrude unreasonably into adjacent properties.	Aug 8, 2011 10:48 AM
38	Most or all of the properties have driveways long enough to accomodate at least a car. bicycle traffic currently must dodge around parked cars.	Aug 8, 2011 10:47 AM
39	Whichever creates the safest environment for.	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
40	I would also be fine with pariking on both sides as long as ACHD would discuss the impact on west properties with home owners.	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
41	Vertical curb, sidewalk, both sides, no parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:39 AM
42	Neither. Side walks are a higher priority than bike lanes. I bike in the street all the time. Kids shouldn't walk in the street.	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
43	Curb (vertical) 3 lane road, sidewalk-both sides, no parking	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
44	Vertical curb, sidewalk, both sides, no parking either side.	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
45	As it is designed, I can not park my long bed pick up in my own driveway. Ice removal in winter? Collister is a truck lane, making the road narrow will make it less safe.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
46	The money needed for this project is simply not worth it. Pedestrian traffic is minimal except between Catalpa and Johns Landing.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
47	This is the highest traffic volume and the worst shoulder area. Lots have allowance for their own parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
48	Not needed.	Aug 8, 2011 9:59 AM
49	Save my tax money. Do not want to lose our rural atmosphere. It's cooler to walk on dirt than sidewalks. Concrete is hot	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM

**Page 2, Q1. Which alternative do you prefer between State Street and Catalpa Drive?
- Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.**

50	not needed	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
51	It is fine as is.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
52	It's a waste of money and time and would remove so many trees.	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
53	See #1. How about from State to Old Folks Home? (a sidewalk)	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
54	I think the road is fine how it is!	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
55	I live in the north section. Any development here will impact north. Everyone I've talked to in the south section don't want any development.	Aug 8, 2011 9:56 AM
56	Not needed. Spend the money fixing Ada county roads and bridges that badly need it.	Aug 8, 2011 9:55 AM
57	Not needed	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
58	Not needed	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
59	Fine the way it is.	Aug 8, 2011 9:53 AM
60	Neighborhood is fine. We want to maintain the rural nature of our community.	Aug 8, 2011 9:48 AM
61	The money could be better spent elsewhere.	Aug 5, 2011 6:01 PM
62	Your improvements are godadawfully narrow. Most homes have double or better garages. Lets discourage the conflict of parked cars and bicycles.	Aug 2, 2011 8:01 PM
63	This is not a subdivision. We moved, and built here over 25 years ago with the primary perspective it was not a subdivision. The use of tax payer dollars for minimal pedestrian usage at this time is not the best use of dollars. Building any increase to roadway will destroy much of the trees currently along roadside.	Aug 1, 2011 10:43 PM
64	Not needed it is already safe! Use the money for something that is actually needed!	Jul 29, 2011 3:58 PM
65	There has not been overwhelming evidence presented need to change the road as it is currently. We do not have significant safety issues or excess traffic to warrant a project of this magnitude.	Jul 29, 2011 3:49 PM
66	I'm opposed to loosing all the beautiful, mature trees and plant life that is currently living where the sidewalks would reside. Even though homeowners have been assured that we will receive compensation for our trees we will not be able to replace 30-100 year old trees and plant life. The traffic noise will reduce our property value for future sale. If street parking is the vote of the majority I would prefer parking on the east side.	Jul 29, 2011 3:04 PM

If you chose Alternative 3 in the above question, which side do you prefer?

		Response Percent	Response Count
East		66.7%	8
West		33.3%	4
		answered question	12
		skipped question	99

Which concept do you prefer between Catalpa Drive and Hill Road? - Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Alternative 1 – On-street parking on both sides		10.7%	9
Alternative 2 – No on-street parking		29.8%	25
Alternative 3 - On-street parking on east or west side		10.7%	9
Do not build pedestrian improvements		48.8%	41
		Why?	65
		answered question	84
		skipped question	27

Page 3, Q1. Which concept do you prefer between Catalpa Drive and Hill Road? - Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.

1	I prefer Alternative 2 which have the least impact to adjacent property owners and no additional ROW needed. There was no information provided on any studies completed for pedestrian and bicycle traffic on Collister. I am unable to effectively comment on which option best since I have not reviewed any of the pedestrian and bike traffic studies. Pedestrian and bike traffic is variable between the two sections in question (State St to Catalpa and Catalpa to Hill Rd) and I would like the opportunity to review the 2011 pedestrian and bike traffic study to better understand the numbers of pedestrians traveling on Collister and the needs. I would also like the Commission to consider an option to combine bike lane with car parking on one side of Collister since most vehicles will not be using the lane during school hours. This option would provide some street parking during times when there is minimal pedestrian use (outside school hours) and minimize the adverse impacts to land owners.	Aug 24, 2011 9:46 AM
2	No sidewalks	Aug 11, 2011 9:32 AM
3	THEY ARE IMPEDIMENTS!	Aug 10, 2011 9:31 PM

**Page 3, Q1. Which concept do you prefer between Catalpa Drive and Hill Road?
- Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.**

4	there is no reason to change this area. No children have been hit and parking is fine.	Aug 10, 2011 8:34 PM
5	Please refer to the previous questions.	Aug 10, 2011 7:37 PM
6	Pedestrian and bicycle traffic are sporadic and does not provide details of the amount of use between the two sections in question (State St to Catalpa and Catalpa to hill Rd). In addiotn there have been no documented pedestrian-vehicle accidents on the upper portion of Collister Dr. I propose a study to be done to determine how much pedestrian traffic uses Collister Drive both the lower half (from Catalpa to State St) and upper half (from Catalpa to Hill Rd) to include pedestrian usage during weekends, week days, and at different times of the day, as well as how many cars park on the street (length of time and specific use during the day and night). I would also like to see an option for combining pedestrian and bicycle traffic into one pathway. I propose eliminating the curb and gutter to reduce overall costs.	Aug 10, 2011 11:14 AM
7	Same reasons as above.	Aug 9, 2011 12:19 PM
8	Speed bumps--lower speed limit to 25 or less	Aug 9, 2011 12:05 PM
9	Does not concern me.	Aug 8, 2011 4:24 PM
10	If not #1 then #3. Too many big shade trees on west side.	Aug 8, 2011 4:20 PM
11	Leave it alone, no dis-improvements	Aug 8, 2011 4:07 PM
12	Least impact, least cost.	Aug 8, 2011 12:48 PM
13	SAMe as above	Aug 8, 2011 12:40 PM
14	Leave it alone--don't fix something that doesn't need fixing.	Aug 8, 2011 12:35 PM
15	No bike lane, an unnecessary luxury. Must have on street parking.	Aug 8, 2011 12:25 PM
16	x'd out	Aug 8, 2011 12:11 PM
17	Also checked Do not build pedestrian improvements	Aug 8, 2011 12:09 PM
18	No need to waste money on unnecessary improvements.	Aug 8, 2011 11:53 AM
19	Same as above	Aug 8, 2011 11:47 AM
20	Same as above	Aug 8, 2011 11:42 AM
21	Please see above.	Aug 8, 2011 11:27 AM
22	Seems everyone has enough land/driveway for own driveways.	Aug 8, 2011 11:17 AM
23	Not needed	Aug 8, 2011 11:16 AM
24	Prefer sidewalks and bike lane only!	Aug 8, 2011 11:06 AM
25	Parking is not safe for bikes nad peds.	Aug 8, 2011 11:01 AM

**Page 3, Q1. Which concept do you prefer between Catalpa Drive and Hill Road?
- Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.**

26	Same	Aug 8, 2011 11:00 AM
27	I think with any on street parking there are always issues (safety) for bicyclists.	Aug 8, 2011 10:59 AM
28	Less cost, less right of way taken, improved visibility at intersections.	Aug 8, 2011 10:59 AM
29	A bike lane and a sidewalk is important, more important than parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:57 AM
30	WAY too busy! 5 way intersection. No construction.	Aug 8, 2011 10:53 AM
31	Same as above.	Aug 8, 2011 10:53 AM
32	See above	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM
33	We have a large extended family and often benefit from the current on street parking. Ordinarily we appreciate bike lanes, but if not having them preserves more of our property and give us sidewalks to keep our children safe and provides parking for our guests, we'd be willing to skip the bike lanes. Also, having no bike lanes would reduce the costs of this project. My husband has biked down Collister with our 7, 9, 11 and 13 year old as well as a bike trailer. They all biked safely on the shoulder. Also as pointed out in question #1, having sidewalks, especially on the east side would allow families to walk from Hill Rd. to Catalpa Park and the Collister Library. I feel Hill Rd. in particular would help decrease the many near misses we have in commuting to Cynthia Mann. Also, if we were to go to our home school-Collister Elementary-we would need sidewalks to keep our little ones safe from cars on Collister Dr. as our children traveled from Hill Rd down to Catalpa. (We attend Cynthia Mann because it is a shorter walking commute and because we have 4 children in the GATE program.)	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
34	See above	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
35	Same as above	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
36	Less expensive, a good compromise, retains some street parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:49 AM
37	Same as above	Aug 8, 2011 10:48 AM
38	Bicycle lanes are clearer on one side (west). One side parking provides ample parking for residences on that section of Collister.	Aug 8, 2011 10:47 AM
39	Whichever creates the safest environment for pedestrians.	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
40	Either side, this really does not apply as I live on Collister between State and Catalpa. Definitely needs parking.	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
41	Same as above, bikes flow better in traffic than pedestrian children. Side walks are more important than bike lanes. Keep on-street parking. Driveways are too small to accommodate service vehicles, visitors, etc.	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
42	SAme	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
43	same	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
44	Same	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM

**Page 3, Q1. Which concept do you prefer between Catalpa Drive and Hill Road?
- Each option includes curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes on both sides of Collister.**

45	Same as above. The bike lanes are wide enough to support cyclists as well as pedestrians.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
46	Less traffic, not as dangerous for pedestrians.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
47	n/a	Aug 8, 2011 9:59 AM
48	There is already room for walkers + bikes	Aug 8, 2011 9:59 AM
49	There are not many students on our street. No one walks to school. Save my taxpayer money.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
50	not needed	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
51	It is fine as is--don't want cutting into yard to do this.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
52	In (can't read the word) too much on street width.	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
53	Too far onto someones property. It's fine how it is.	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
54	Same	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
55	The road is fine I don't have enough room for these improvements that don't need to be done!	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM
56	It is too close to the street as it is. No one wants others to park in front of their house. It would be unfair to to either side to stick parking on the other.	Aug 8, 2011 9:56 AM
57	The people tat live here don't wnat it.	Aug 8, 2011 9:55 AM
58	Not needed	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
59	Not needed	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
60	It is fine as it is.	Aug 8, 2011 9:48 AM
61	parking on just one side of the street would at least cut down the amount that would be needed from our front yard	Aug 6, 2011 9:19 PM
62	Catalpa is narrow.	Aug 2, 2011 8:02 PM
63	Not needed not enough space. Don't ruin something that already works!	Jul 29, 2011 4:03 PM
64	Same	Jul 29, 2011 3:50 PM
65	For the same reasons stated earlier.	Jul 29, 2011 3:05 PM

If you chose Alternative 3 in the above question, which side do you prefer?

		Response Percent	Response Count
East		54.5%	6
West		45.5%	5
		answered question	11
		skipped question	100

General Comments

		Response Count
		67
answered question		67
skipped question		44

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

1	<p>Via phone call to Craig Herndon: He is opposed to project. He lives between Catalpa and Hill. He asked how many peds or bikes have been hit by cars on Collister Dr. and I explained that number is not significant and that school kids walking to and from school and division of schools by Collister. He stated the following three points: 1) opposed to the project because if people are not begin hit by cars then there is not a safety issue and the project should not happen, 2) concerned about the source of money and that the money would be better spend on other needs, 3) Opposed the project because he believes his very only 12 trees would be impacted and since they have an intrinsic value to his property, quality of life and they provide a separation from traffic and privacy then he is concerned how he would be compensated fairly for their value. He ended with stating again that unless there is a current safety issue and people are being hit by cars or bikes then the project and its impact is not needed and opposed to the project.</p>	Aug 24, 2011 9:53 AM
2	<p>I am very concerned about adding pedestrian facilities and car improvements to Collister from Catalpa to Hill Rd (increasing the existing right-of-way). This would be very detrimental to my property including reducing my property value (due to decreased property size and eliminating property features), compromising the existing and functioning well (used as the primary source of irrigation), displacing sprinkler lines and other utilities, and reducing yard features and space as well as parking area in the driveway. There are numerous homes located on the upper part of Collister (north of Catalpa) that already have limited yard space, mature trees located within 10 feet or less of the ROW, existing working wells (for irrigation), existing sprinkler lines and utilities located at the edge of the property adjacent to the roadway. These features are all reasons why I purchased property on Collister and are extremely important to me and the integrity of the property and its value. I purchased this property for several reasons including a well to irrigate the yard, shrubs, and garden without having to pay for city water. This is an important feature especially when we are in drought conditions. This feature allows me to irrigate and maintain my property without extremely high (outrageous) water bills and does not compete with other neighbors or watering schedules. Having mature trees for shade is another reason I purchased this property. During the hot summer months with temperatures in the upper 90's or 100's the mature trees offer shade to my home</p>	Aug 24, 2011 9:46 AM

and reduces the inside and outside temperature by more than 10 degrees. A large driveway with optimal parking area is another reason I purchased this property. Currently, I am able to park 4 full sized vehicles in the driveway and if the right-of-way was expanded I would lose the length of my driveway thus reducing available parking area. Finally, in my opinion, I do not believe the reduced pedestrian traffic warrants the huge expense of adding additional pedestrian features when there is already a designated area outlined by pavement marking for pedestrian/bike use and/or car parking. The existing lane identified by the right-of-way line provides pedestrian and bicycle use for this area.

3 I think there should be no parking because I ride my bike down that street to get to work and I can't get there with cars in the way. That would be a big help. Aug 22, 2011 2:26 PM

4 My preference is to do nothing, however if this is moving forward, I would like for us to step back and do better than what is proposed. We can accommodate pedestrians and traffic in a more appealing way. We can maintain our focus of being the most livable city in the nation and keep the aesthetics of the city of trees. We can look to more closely model this project to reflect the beauty and calmness of 15th street rather than the ugly streets 28th and 36th. We must look to retain property values along with progressing forward with this project. Let's look to make our urban lifestyle better by using bumpouts and or calming islands to create a more beautiful gateway to the Collister Neighborhood. We can step back and reevaluate and add requests for neighborhood revitalization grants to do better. From 8/8/11 email: Please find attached my comments and concerns for the proposed Collister Drive, State Street to Hill Road project. I am available for any further discussion or community involvement necessary in this project. I'm writing to express my concerns about the proposed Collister Drive / State to Hill Road project and the effects ACHD's decision will have on the immediate residents of Collister Dr. As stated in the project summary to the commission there will be no other capacity improvements or upgrades to the neighborhood for the next 20 years, therefore when developing Collister Dr. we must consider landscaping an integral part of the design as expressed in the Collister Neighborhood Plan. We can work together to create an entire picture of a thriving neighborhood and promote the retention of property values and quality of life for acceptable performance outcomes for pedestrians, cyclists, vehicles and the residents. With some extra planning today it can be the catalyst for re-development by creating a pleasing infrastructure that will retain and encourage interest in our older neighborhoods. Collister Dr. is the heart of the neighborhood connecting schools, shopping, the library and a two transit VRT line. We must consider the consequences of rushing to implement the designs proposed as they too closely match those of 36th and 28th that have greatly contributed to the devaluation of the streetscape and properties while increasing speeds on the corridors. The recent project on 36th was in direct conflict with the vision and desires of the Collister Neighborhood Plan implemented with the city in 2007 that requests " tree plantings and landscaping as befits a scenic corridor". It takes imagination and desire to create a healthier neighborhood and our streetscapes are the foundations of which future growth or deterioration are determined. The American Association of State highways and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), has long recognized that the proper landscape and aesthetic development of urban streets provides a desirable touch of natural beauty in a built environment. These improvements are often the means of improving the economic values of the areas adjacent to the streets and creating a sense of community identity (AASHTO, 1970). I appreciate our City and County's efforts in making our communities safer and more accessible for

Aug 22, 2011 11:29 AM

pedestrians and cyclists. I'm an avid runner and cyclist and agree with the philosophy of Boise's Comprehensive Plan. Our addition of sidewalks and bike lanes has contributed greatly to Boise's vision of being "the most livable city in the country". Our New Urbanism approach to re-development has contributed tremendously to the revitalization of the Collister neighborhood with the 36th Street Garden Center, Catalpa Park, the Library, Collister Shopping Center and the homes that have been recently built or remodeled. Collister is currently a small residential collector 6200 feet in length with 112 residents. It begins at State Street with multiple entry points creating a dangerous intersection lacking safety features to ensure the smooth flow of people and vehicles. This has not been addressed by the ACHD nor is it in the Collister/ State to Hill Road proposal. Collister bottlenecks at the newly remodeled Collister Shopping Center on the East and a bar without setbacks and adequate safe parking on the West. The increased use from the new Library and added shopping has created a vibrant hub of neighborhood activity. Widening Collister yet leaving that section of the road unimproved and the intersection problems not resolved creates an even greater potential for conflict for pedestrians, cyclist and vehicles. We have an opportunity to design this project by incorporating landscape islands and bulb outs with the sidewalks, bike lanes and parking for an acceptable performance outcome. Appropriate landscaping has a psychological effect of reducing speed due to the perceived smaller lane width. This discouraged speed allows drivers to experience a wider field of vision and a greater awareness of pedestrians and cyclists. We have a great example of this type of greenway design on 15th Street. "Good roadside design can be accomplished within the established criteria for geometry and safety while meeting the desires of the community for streets with more aesthetic appeal"(Mok, Landphair, and Naderi). Carefully landscaped Collister will have enough calming features to be interesting but not so many that it is confusing. We can keep Collister Dr. a low traffic collector that is the gateway to the neighborhood by creating an Urban environment with the aesthetics to enhance the quality of life for the immediate residents and as well as those passing through. At this time considering the inadequately designed intersection at State Street and Collister and the lack of planning for minimal negative impact on the immediate residents of Collister I'm requesting that you as a council vote to not approve this project until these issues can be resolved. (see actual letter for references)

- 5 Thank you for providing a detailed map of the area where my residence is located. I am still having trouble identifying how much of my property would be affected by the different proposed options. I know you said there is a 30.5 foot ROW from centerline and I am not sure where that puts the boundary so I guess I will have to measure that before I can determine how the options would affect my property. The map didn't quite show how much area would be affected. In addition I noticed I was unable to download the comment sheet and fill out on my pc. Is this comment sheet available to submit to you electronically (through email)? Here are some other observations and comments: • It doesn't appear there were any studies done on Collister to determine how many pedestrians and bicyclists use the street (or the usage during weekends verses week days or at different times of the day, etc) or how many cars park on the street (length of time or specific use during the day and night). Before proposing options for pedestrian facilities and street parking I would have done a study to find out whether these features were warranted as well as the traffic usage. I have lived at this address since 1993 and to my knowledge there have not been any accidents or fatalities involving vehicles and pedestrians. I have not observed vehicles parking on the Collister for any length of time unless the resident had several guests (as such with a party) or needed to move vehicles around. Most

Aug 22, 2011 9:59 AM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

residents have large driveways that would accommodate several vehicles unless the driveways are reduced due to the addition of pedestrian features. I also noticed there were more children using the lower half of Collister (between Catalpa and State Street) to and from schools. The number declines on the upper part of Collister Road (from Catalpa to hill Road). • I also noticed there was not an option to combine the use for pedestrian and bicycles. I have seen this in other areas around Boise and was wondering why there was not an option to combine these into one pathway? Could this be done without curb and gutter? I would prefer to combine the bike and pedestrian pathway as one. I would be happy to put these thoughts on the comment form but I am not sure how to submit it electronically. Can you provide an electronic form for me to fill out and submit? Thank you for your time and providing the additional information. I look forward to hearing what the county proposes to the ACHD Commission.

6	The West side of Collister is already being crowded and we oppose any project that would bring traffic and pedestrians closer to the front door...give us as much space as you can. 8/11/11 - Hi Craig: I already sent in my survey, but after further consideration, I have additional input I wish to make, but the survey program wouldn't let me use it twice so here goes. I was resistant to parking on the West side of the street in my comments. I would like to withdraw that statement and rephrase it to be: Parking would be OK on the West side of the Street at my addresses of 3395 & 3401 providing that combination of the side walk/bikelane/parking does not further encroach on my property and that such improvements be made only if the Collister alignment is moved further to the East.	Aug 22, 2011 9:57 AM
7	No sidewalks	Aug 11, 2011 9:32 AM
8	The project you are proposing directly affects the homeowners in a multitude of ways, from lifestyle to quality of life to direct financial and indirect financial impact. We feel overwhelmed by the rapidity with which you demand us to consider and make decisions on this matter. You are talking about our lives and property, yet we are given one open house as our only public forum, which you planned and executed in a two hour period, which did not allow us to exchange ideas and ask questions freely as a group, much less to hear answers as a group so that we could openly exchange knowledge and information as a group. And now we have been pressured to give our comments by Aug. 11, 2011. Two weeks? Really? Is ACHD intent on forcing us to unite and fight?	Aug 11, 2011 9:04 AM
9	IMPEDIMENTS!	Aug 10, 2011 9:32 PM
10	Don't build pedestrian improvements. no one has been hit and killed in any of these areas. The only thing you are going to do is make it more enticing for cars to drive through here, making the chances of a pedestrian being hit or killed much higher.	Aug 10, 2011 8:35 PM
11	I do hope this plan does not progress the way the Curtis extension developed. To spend the money on widening the road a small amount, spending the money, and then stating that you made a mistake and that the original plans of making it wider were what is needed, and then doing what the city had originally intended. The cost and the destruction of property is unreasonable.	Aug 10, 2011 7:43 PM
12	I am very concerned that adding pedestrian and car improvements made to the west side of Collister from Catalpa to hill Rd (increasing the existing right-of-way) would be detrimental to my property including reducing my property value (due to decreased property size and eliminating property features), compromising the	Aug 10, 2011 11:15 AM

existing and functioning well (used as the primary source of irrigation), displacing sprinkler lines and other utilities, and reducing yard features and space as well as parking area. There are numerous homes located on the upper part of Collister that already have limited yard space, mature trees located within 20 feet or less of the ROW, existing working wells (for irrigation), existing sprinkler lines and utilities located at the edge of the property adjacent to the roadway. These features are all reasons why I purchased property on Collister and are extremely important to me and the integrity of the property and its value. I purchased this property for several reasons including a well to irrigate the yard, shrubs, and garden without having to pay for city water. This is an important feature especially when we are in drought conditions. This feature allows me to irrigate and maintain my property without extremely high (or outrageous) water bills and does not compete with other neighbors or watering schedules. Having mature trees for shade is another reason I purchased this property. During the hot summer months with temperatures in the upper 90's or 100's the mature trees offer shade to my home and reduces the inside and outside temperature by more than 10 degrees. A large driveway with optimal parking area is another reason I purchased this property. Currently, I am able to park 4 full sized vehicles in the driveway and if the right-of-way was expanded I would lose the length of my driveway thus reducing available parking area. Finally, I have not witnessed a lot of pedestrian traffic on the upper portion of Collister Dr. The key times for pedestrian use are in the afternoon (after school lets out) and on weekends. In my opinion, I do not believe the reduced pedestrian traffic warrants the huge expense of adding additional pedestrian features when there is already a designated area outlined by pavement marking for pedestrian use and/or car parking. The existing lane identified by the right-of-way line provides adequate pedestrian and bicycle use for this area.

13 I think this project is very important! Aug 9, 2011 10:33 PM

14 I live off Collister Drive and my kids attend Collister Elementary. I frequently observe cars speeding to make the light to turn left or right onto State St. from Collister, putting pedestrians at risk using the crosswalks@ this intersection. If there were a way to make a "right turn only" lane Westbound onto State St. from Collister (in Front of Terry's Bar), this would greatly improve the traffic congestion on Collister. There is ample room adjacent to the "On the Fly" gas station lot to move the northbound Collister lane over to accommodate a lane addition. I don't know if sidewalks are being considered for the length of Collister between State and Hill Rd., but I would like to comment that the changes to Catalpa St. have worked well and would advocate a similar change to Collister.

15 Sidewalks, gutters, curbs, bike lanes would make our neighborhood more enjoyable, safer and would increase the value of all of our property! Aug 9, 2011 12:20 PM

16 I have lived on Collister since only farm animals existed. The current road seems to serve it's purpose. The wide areas on each side make walking, biking and parking easy. There are busy times in a.m. - p.m. (work commute) but I have never had a problem exiting my driveway. Bicycle lanes are a joke. Bicyclers use main road anyway. I do not want to lose any portion of my property now or in the future. Providing safety for children is a plus, but driving to work every morning, (times vary) I see one (1) child walking. Mostly see cars dropping off students. I walk on Collister and due to wide area I have never felt unsafe. What could be done is--put in speed bumps--love to hear the 12-2am speedster go from Catalpa to Hill Road in 10 seconds. Also the grate to the right of my house needs to be fixed. It has already flipped off and makes a terrible racket when cars hit it.

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

- 17 Comments: We would vote for pedestrian street easement with no street parking allowed. Many vehicles are inoperable and should have been hauled off. This is more viable economically and encourages pedestrians and bicycles on a already congested area. A right hand turn on collister can not be understated in importance. Terry's Tavern is a roadside menace, I recently reported a intoxicated man jawalking directly from Terry's door against right hand turning traffic from state street. We realize the expense of a bridge over the canal but this is LONG overdue. In the meantime allowing a now thriving Collister shopping center to attempt a left turn onto Collister from the parking lot has caused extreme road rage, reckeless u-turns into the tavern across the street and generally is a disaster. Colister shopping center now houses a popular Library, Gallery-Restaurant and antique mall. The exit and entrance behind the gas station has changed status entirely. A no left turn sign must be implemented before tempers get murderous. If you can't afford the improvements necessary please at least make it safe for the rest of us. Aug 9, 2011 11:59 AM
- 18 July 31, 2011 Isabel and Dave Bruce 4004 Collister Drive Boise, Id 83703 ACHD, 3775 Adams Street, Garden City, ID 83714 Re: Collister Drive, State Street to Hill Road Attention: Mr. Craig Herndon Dear Mr. Herndon: It was a pleasure to meet with you and discuss the proposed improvements to Collister Drive last evening. I left feeling somewhat reassured that my property frontage on Collister, consisting of approximately 250 ft would not be unduly compromised. During our 16 year residence, we have spent considerable funds making improvements. There is a well established fence of ivy that provides not only privacy from the increasing traffic on Collister, but also a sound barrier. The noise from increasing traffic on Collister makes it very difficult to use one of our front bedrooms. In addition, there are two berms with well established trees that also help to screen off street noise. Three years ago, we spent approximately \$10,000 installing a sprinkler system, a vast majority of which runs adjacent to that frontage and supports the life of a 75 year old Apple, Spruce and Magnolia trees. There is significant concrete from a "Y" shaped drive to consider in the proposed remodel. At the bottom of our property line there is a small creek (I believe it is named Sand Creek) that is aligned with a stone wall. Compromising that wall could impose on the integrity of the remaining wall along my property if the Collister footing were to be disturbed. After our talk, I felt assured this would not happen. There are also utilities in that corridor, which if moved closer to my home could create issues beyond property line boundaries. You assured me you have no interest in purchasing or utilizing any personal property at this time. We purchased a home in this neighborhood because of the older style of the neighborhood. Boise is in a continued struggle between progressive pressures and maintaining its original traditions and character. Although sidewalks would be considered to be an improvement by many, I do not see significant foot traffic sufficient to justify compromising peoples existing land boundaries. There is absolutely no room for street parking in the stretch that affronts my property, sidewalks perhaps with one bike lane, but even that would be a stretch, it literally would remove my personal parking and bring traffic dangerously close to my home front. My house and garages are very close to the front of the 1951 vintage right of way. Increased traffic would compromise the very safety you are proposing to create. After all of this being said, once again, I feel reassured by our conversation, and your commitment on the part of ACHD not to compromise my frontage. Should these commitments change, we would appreciate being notified as soon as possible so that negotiation may be continued. We understand there is some vigilante type approaches by neighbors that are occurring and we would prefer not to be included in that group. Any communication regarding improvements should be directed to us Aug 8, 2011 4:29 PM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

	individually. Sincerely, Isabel and Dave Bruce My name is Dave Bruce & my residence is at 4004 Collister Drive. My wife Informed me of the meeting notice for Thursday the 28th, concerning the Collister Pedestrian Improvements. I am typically out of town with business, but I'm trying to rearrange my schedule to make it to the meeting with my wife Isabel. If I do not attend, it's not from a lack of interest, but from a scheduling issue. Sincerely, Dave Bruce	
19	96 years old.	Aug 8, 2011 4:24 PM
20	Alot of people do not want change if it impacts them adversley. I wish for Collister to be safer and finished off nicely. Too many kids walk in the bike land and road where there are no sidewalks. Thank you!	Aug 8, 2011 4:22 PM
21	Bad idea. Ripping front yards up for very little extra safety. It's ludicrous.	Aug 8, 2011 4:08 PM
22	I rec'd your card invite to the public meeting regarding alternatives to pedestrian facilities to Collister Drive between State Street and Hill Road. I live on Bloom St [east side of Bloom at the cul-de-sac] and regularly use Collister to commute by bicycle to my work at Gowen Field and therefore I'm interested in doing what can be done to make pedestrian and/or bike travel safer on Collister. I do believe that Collister has an adequate shoulder area that is indeed large enough for both pedestrian and bike travel and don't feel that adding sidewalks is necessary if that is one of your proposals being considered. What I would like to see if for the road to be re-painted and especially create/paint in a bike lane so that car traffic is aware of the bike lane. The most important painted line from the perspective of a bicyclist is the right side solid line distinguishing the road from the shoulder and I think that that line needs to be re-painted on Collister ! That is my only comment at this point. Thank you. Thanks for your reply back. This sound great and I especially like the idea of placing bike lanes on both sides of Collister. As we move into this century the need for Boise residents to use alternate forms of transportation for thier daily commute is becoming more paramount and therefore, it's imporontant to provide for the safety for these types of commuters. I appreciate the opportunity to voice my concerns/recommendations here. Jeffrey J. Guzi 4447 W Bloom St Boise ID 83703	Aug 8, 2011 2:47 PM
23	Greetings, I am managing Samaritan Village Apartments, a 100 unit elderly housing community on Collister Dr. The project for adding pedestrian facilities between State St. and Hill Rd. will be an excellent use of funds. Many times I have felt concerned for my elderly residents as they walk to the bus stop or to Catalpa Park. It will be a great blessing to have a safe path that they can travel. Thank you for considering this project. Lauri J Mingo Samaritan Village Apartments & The Cottage 208-343-9504	Aug 8, 2011 2:27 PM
24	Dear ACHD, Please consider these comments as you move forward in regards to the proposed Collister Drive project. 1. An important aesthetic to this area of NW Boise is the country lane feeling that you get on Collister Drive. Any designs should consider how to keep that aesthetic. 2. Maintain the 30 MPH speed limit. I was quite disappointed in ACHD's design of the Catalpa project when I found out the speed limit was reduced to 25. Please ensure any redesigns of Collister Drive will maintain the 30 MPH speed limit. 3. Do not put in a stop light at Collister and Catalpa. Having lived in this neighborhood for over 23 years now, I find that the stop signs are quite adequate. If you put in a stop light system, it will in my opinion, ruin the country lane aesthetic. Thank you for considering these comments. Respectfully Submitted, John Orlovich, P.E. 208-869-9229	Aug 8, 2011 2:25 PM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

25	<p>One of my neighbors had put a flyer stating ACHD was looking at 2 proposals for additional r/w. One at 30' and one at 50'. I live at 4803 Hadlock St. in Tanager Hollow. At that time we gave an additional 7' for a 33' r/w at time of platting. Looking at several other plats and surveys that seems to be the norm for new r/w along Collister for a 66' total r/w. I'm in favor of new sidewalks being built in a 33' r/w but not in a 50' r/w. The additional 25' puts the r/w line to close to existing houses along some parts of Collister. If the new sidewalks can be built in the 33' r/w that would be great, otherwise I vote "NO" on anything wider. Thanks Jamie D. Cox Tealey's Land Surveying (208) 385-0636</p>	Aug 8, 2011 2:13 PM
26	<p>Hey, I live at 4500 N Collister. I would adamantly against any changes made to the layout of the street. I don't feel it's necessary to add sidewalks or a designated bike lane in order to increase safety since there is already a lane wide enough for pedestrians and cyclists to share even when cars are parked along the road. This section of road is in good condition currently and it would be a waste of resources to work on it again. We currently don't have a drainage problem except for where there are sidewalks. It would be better to not complicate things or eat people's property lines. Please put my tax dollars to better use and pave the dirt section of Dry Creek Rd between Hwy 55 and Seaman's Gulch Rd, or pave 8th st up to the gate, or grade Rocky Canyon Rd, or fix Bogus Basin Rd. I would also suggest making Catalpa/Collister a 1 way stop w/ a pedestrian crossing instead of a 3 way stop, adding a ped x-ing at John's Landing/Collister, and making Hill/Pierce Pk a 2 way w/ hill rd straight through since that's predominately the direction that traffic moves in. There's also a dangerous blind corner just west of there with maybe a foot of bike lane forcing bikers to ride in the road. You could fix the bike lane there if you're concerned about safety. Speaking of bikes, is it necessary to chip seal the bike lane? Bikers often ride right on the white line regardless of the existence of a bike lane because it rolls faster than the unpacked chip seal. It seems like you could make a lot of bikers happy by leaving that clean and therefore a lot of drivers happy to not have the bikers in the car lane.</p>	Aug 8, 2011 2:05 PM
27	<p>E-mail My name is Joe Ross and I own a home at 4971 Collister Dr. I will be unable to attend the meeting on July 28th, however, I will say that I am not in favor of the proposed pedestrian facilities to Collister Dr. between State and Hill Rd. I can be reached a 208-424-0246 for any further comments.</p>	Aug 8, 2011 1:57 PM
28	<p>Keep people safe, alternatives have different costs.</p>	Aug 8, 2011 1:54 PM
29	<p>As a resident of Collister Dr. for 23 years we have witnessed an increase of traffic as other neighborhoods around us developed. Ultimately we would prefer to maintain our current landscaping in the front of our house on the street as a buffer and to not move the traffic closer our house. As a concession, we request that if one of the other options is selected, that appropriate, visually appealing traffic calming measures be incorporated into the project. See 15th St. between Hill Rd. and Fort St. We would request this even at a cost to the on street parking.</p>	Aug 8, 2011 1:19 PM
30	<p>I think there is enough traffic on Collister to warrant a center turn lane to accommodate the homes there. :)</p>	Aug 8, 2011 12:43 PM
31	<p>The improvements made on Catalpa diminished the practical use of the neighborhood. Use your money to improve Traft. That is a nightmare to walk or bike on. The State/Collister intersection needs work more than Collister Street. Put a light at John's Landing if you want to slow traffic down. Please don't take away homeowner's parking in front of their houses.</p>	Aug 8, 2011 12:37 PM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

32	I would hate to see trees being lost on Collister. Also, I do not want to lose the front of my property. I realize public safety is important, but not at this extent of damage to private property. A much more important issue is the dangerous, terrible intersection at Collister & State!	Aug 8, 2011 12:31 PM
33	Stop spending money on projects we don't need. That is the reason America is the mess we are in/spending money where we don't need to be.	Aug 8, 2011 11:56 AM
34	Again, the level of pedestrian use on Collister is relatively light, and does not warrant the cost of these improvements. More importantly, the cost to homeowners of the loss of their property improvements that provide incredibly important screening in many cases from the busy car traffic of Collister Drive. These same improvements provide much needed shade to our homes, and beauty to our neighborhood, which sets it apart from newer cookie cutter subdivisions. So not do this to us please! Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment on this project.	Aug 8, 2011 11:35 AM
35	Though not the area being discussed, the intersection of Collister and State is horrible for everyone, but especially peds and cyclists. A diagonal cross from the greenbelt to the east side of Collister would be most welcome. Other, less costly options could include extending the traffic light from Collister so that motorists don't have the impatient sense of urgency when the light turns green. Another option would be to simply allow a period of time where only pedestrians would cross. This could be 20 seconds that are triggered by an individual waiting at the light. This would allow for safe crossing for the kids as they go to and from the library. We don't need a death in order to have the conversation do we? Also, no left turns onto Collister from the parking lot of the library.	Aug 8, 2011 11:07 AM
36	At least give us a 20 second pedestrian and bike only crossing from south of State up Collister. If someone hits the ped crossing button, they get to cross without cars. The Collister back up causes drivers to cross too quickly. Kids crossing from the apartments to go to the library or go to On The Fly, for soda, get clipped by giant SUV's who want to "make the light" and turn right quickly. Just 20 seconds of ped only, would give peds and bikes a chance to cross without getting hit by cars or tapped or grazed. This would also make it easier to bike up the correct side of the street. If we could cross diagonally when the ped/bike crossing gives us 20 sec, bikes could cross fast to the right side of the street. Please, please do this traffic signal change. Please do this now. It will take too long to wait for the intersection to be fixed. Please help us obey City of Boise bike laws and save our children from dangerous situations. Thank you.	Aug 8, 2011 11:01 AM
37	Great job on Catalpa! Thank you for all your hard work.	Aug 8, 2011 10:57 AM
38	I feel strongly that improved traffic/pedestrian control need to be improved at the corner of State Street and Collister. When pedestrians are crossing State Street North-South, even though pedestrian lights are long, cars turning right from Collister onto State are pushing pedestrians to cross because the traffic is backed up on Collister so they are trying to get through the intersection before the light turns red. If parking is needed I can see only parking on our side of street, possibly east side.	Aug 8, 2011 10:55 AM
39	People are wondering about taxes and if this will effect their land. I myself think that there should be no parking because of cyclists and walkers. My opinion is they should put in sidewalk. No on-street parking for the community.	Aug 8, 2011 10:53 AM
40	Please contact specific properties over the necessary right of way.	Aug 8, 2011 10:52 AM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

41	Parking was removed on 36th St. years ago and has created difficulties for those who live there. Same with Castle Dr. (north side). Neighbors on Catalpa agreed to solution of parking on one side.	Aug 8, 2011 10:51 AM
42	Positive project. Let's also get on with the proposed improvements at the dangerous 5 way intersection in front of Hillside Junior High. Stop the delays!	Aug 8, 2011 10:50 AM
43	Really need to try to slow traffic on Collister, especially south bound from Hill to Catalpa. More speed limit signs, more crosswalks, stop sign at John's Landing.	Aug 8, 2011 10:48 AM
44	Thank you!	Aug 8, 2011 10:43 AM
45	I want a thru lane (3 lane street) without parking on either side. Parking on only one side will increase people to jaywalk and increase accidents. Trailer turn from Collister on to Catalpa encroaches into the oncoming traffic. Pump/well located near road in trees.	Aug 8, 2011 10:39 AM
46	I'm an avid biker and property owner on Collister near Hill Rd. I support a plan that preserves on parking and adds sidewalks, not a bike lane. My children and I bike on Collister regularly, mostly on the shoulder. A bike lane would be a luxury but at too high of cost, especially to my front yard. The elderly and children could benefit greatly from a side walk. We've had many close calls with automobile traffic while walking along Collister. Side walk at the corner of Collister and Hill should be top priority. My kids and I have had to scramble to avoid being hit as cars drive on the shoulder to make a right turn. Thanks, Nick 853-0796	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
47	Pump/well locator near edge of road.	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
48	Pump needed	Aug 8, 2011 10:01 AM
49	In winter, the sidewalks would be less safe. Who is going to put de-icer on sidewalks? I'd rather walk on dirt than sidewalks. It's more comfortable, less impact on my joints.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
50	Overall I don't think any of the ideas presented are really right for Collister. The idea should be to slow traffic down. If we want our neighborhood to support pedestrians, adding sidewalks with bike lanes will just cause people to drive faster. If we want to slow traffic down we should consider other options. Adding center lane landscaping and bulb outs would significantly slow traffic making it safer for pedestrians and cyclists. Adding these along with bike lanes and gutters while keeping the street parking would slow down traffic while providing adequate safety for the minimal number of pedestrians. I've lived in this neighborhood for 21 years. I went to Hillside Jr. High and walked every day to school. During this time I was the only child walking on Collister. Now I continue to see a very small number of people walking on Collister. Certainly not enough to support any of the improvements proposed.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
51	I would like to see speed lowered and possibly school zone lights to slow at bus pick up spots and cross streets near Catalpa. Speeding is a problem for the pedestrian and bicyclists safety and should be addressed now-not 3 years from now.	Aug 8, 2011 10:00 AM
52	In this economy why are we even discussing this?	Aug 8, 2011 9:58 AM
53	Collister Dr. is just fine how it is. We do not need new lanes and sidewalks.	Aug 8, 2011 9:57 AM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

	Nobody uses sidewalks anyway. I don't want to give up my property for this.	
54	My wife and I are 100% against.	Aug 8, 2011 9:56 AM
55	Don't bully us by trying to jam this project down our throats. The people who live on Collister Dr. don't want it!	Aug 8, 2011 9:55 AM
56	90 years old	Aug 8, 2011 9:54 AM
57	All sewer, water and power hookups will have to be moved, who will pay for this? Who will pay to drill me a new well and build me a new wall in front of my house??	Aug 8, 2011 9:53 AM
58	There are more important needs in Boise than messing with Collister. If absolutely necessary to change Collister, I would support alternative 4 with parking on the east side, but in no way parking on both sides.	Aug 8, 2011 9:50 AM
59	we are just concerned with all the curbs, bike lanes etc. etc. our house is going to be right on the street	Aug 6, 2011 9:21 PM
60	We are strongly opposed to this project. There is a shoulder on each side of the road for pedestrians and cyclists already. The money could be best spent elsewhere.	Aug 5, 2011 6:06 PM
61	The only spaces that should be provided is for buses and bus shelters. Mass transit should be encouraged whenever possible, we are congested.	Aug 2, 2011 8:03 PM
62	Have lived on Collister for over 25 years and see minimal pedestrian traffic, can't really understand what is driving this level of expense to taxpayer at this time based on minimal usage for ped traffic. Don't really understand why anyone who does not live along Collister (and at risk of losing property) should have much input into impact to our property? Kind of looking at what benefit this project would bring to home owners currently living on Collister? Would vote for no change, but definitely NO parking on either side. Currently see only minimal usage for parking with exception for rental properties (which are not home owners who have a stake in property)	Aug 1, 2011 10:49 PM
63	Thanks for allowing us to give our input. Sidewalks and bike paths are necessary, but we don't need street parking. We all have driveways. We notice another problem on Collister: people drive at excessive speeds because the road is straight. We hope you can address this problem soon!	Aug 1, 2011 12:14 PM
64	Catalpa three way stop is a mess! Trying to turn right from Collister Dr. or a left off of Catalpa there is not enough room on Catalpa road to drive on!!	Jul 29, 2011 4:07 PM
65	Let's slow down and try to something worthy of our great City of Trees. We need to keep landscaping and a more pleasing visual corridor. 36th and 28th are not good examples of the type of community neighborhood beautification this could and should be. We should look at not just creating a larger thoroughfare but a pleasing walkway with bumpouts and landscaping.	Jul 29, 2011 3:58 PM
66	I'm opposed to these changes because I abhor the mail boxes on Catalpa and 36th street. They are an eyesore and I would not care for bundled mailboxes in a central location either. I oppose school children who are currently being bussed to school being required to walk because we now have sidewalks. I'm opposed to creating a sub-division atmosphere where there is now a	Jul 29, 2011 3:17 PM

Page 4, Q1. General Comments

neighborhood feel. I don't want to be like Catalpa because the lanes are way too narrow. There is not enough room for a parked car, bike and traveling car at the same time. The intersection of Catalpa and Collister is difficult to make an eastbound turn if there is a car in the right lane on Catalpa because if you want to avoid cutting off the bike lane on Collister you will hit the car on Catalpa. We are off the road 60 feet and I feel really sorry for our neighbors that are the minimum distance from the road because they will be able to hear passing cars radios if this is passed.

67	Need crisswakj at Johns Landing and Collister where there are bus stops on both sides	Jul 28, 2011 6:30 PM
----	---	----------------------